Effect of Music on Postoperative Pain in Patients Under Open Heart Surgery
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Background: Music, as a non-pharmacological and inexpensive nursing intervention, can be used easily as complementary technique and be effective in reducing pain with other methods. While some studies have demonstrated pain to decrease after music, others found music to be ineffective on pain.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of music on postoperative pain in patients under open heart surgery.

Patients and Methods: A quasi-experimental study was performed on 60 patients under open heart surgery referred to ICU of Shahid Beheshti hospital in Kashan city. Patients were randomly divided into two groups including experimental and control groups. Patients in music group listened to nonverbal music for 30 minutes after surgery by headphones. The control group did not receive any intervention. Before and after intervention, pain intensity was measured and recorded by visual analog scale in two groups. Data was analyzed using Chi-Square and t-tests.

Results: Before intervention, the mean of pain intensity was 6.3 ± 0.2 and 6.1 ± 0.2 for experimental and control groups, respectively; and the difference was not significant (P = 0.2). After intervention, the mean of pain intensity was 3.1 ± 0.1 and 5.8 ± 0.38 for experimental and control groups, respectively; and the difference was significant (P = 0.04).

Conclusions: Hearing the music can reduce postoperative pain. It is suggested that relaxant music be used as a complementary method in patients in order to reduce prospective pain.
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1. Background

Cardiovascular diseases have the highest death rates and will remain the primary cause of death in the world until 2020. Nearly 52% of deaths in the United States and 48% in Europe are related to these diseases (1). A large number of patients with coronary artery diseases, which do not respond to medical therapies have to undergo coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) (2). This common procedure has been done for about 35 years (2). The prevalence of CABG is 26.79% in North America, 0.72% in Asia, 17.94% in Western Europe and 18.14% in the rest of the world. In Iran, 60% of all open-heart surgeries are CABGs (3).

Pain is defined as an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience probably associated with actual or potential tissue damage. Although pain is a predictable part of the postoperative experience, inadequate management of pain is common and can have profound effects. Unrelied postoperative pain may result in clinical and psychological changes that increase morbidity, mortality, and costs and decrease the quality of life (4). Moreover, negative clinical outcomes resulting from ineffective postoperative pain management include deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, coronary ischemia, myocardial infarction, pneumonia, poor wound healing, insomnia, and demoralization (5). Moderate to severe postoperative pain is experienced by more than 80% of patients having surgery (2). Pain has also been reported as one of the primary sources of concern for cardiac surgery patients, and postoperative pain management is important owing to the increasing number of patients undergoing open heart surgery (2, 5, 6). Pain can be caused by incisions, intraoperative tissue retraction and dissection, multiple intravascular cannulations, chest tubes left after surgery, and multiple invasive procedures that patients undergo as part of their therapeutic processes (7). In one study, patients reported chest incision pain as a problem after CABG (8). Because of pain, patients cannot take deep breaths, cough, or start moving around as soon as they should, and this, delays their recovery (9). Associated with these complications are economic and medical implications, such as extended lengths of hospital stay and patient dissatisfaction with medical care (10). It is proposed that effective management of postoperative pain in patients who have undergone CABG can be an important factor in overall recovery. Music is an inexpensive, non-pharmacological, noninvasive nursing interaction that has no side effects and can be effective along with other methods (2, 6). Although music effect mechanism in reducing the pain is not clear completely, increasing of Mio
receptors on the cells surface and increasing of endorphins are suspected as the probable mechanisms in this context (11). In examining the effects of music on patients have undergone CABG, a number of studies have demonstrated decreases in postoperative pain (12, 13), whereas Nilsson et al. found no difference in pain (14).

There are limited numbers of published studies on the effect of music in pain reduction after open-heart surgery (12). In addition, music has a cultural implication (15) and insufficient studies have been conducted in countries such as Iran. Meanwhile, the success of music therapy may be greatly enhanced by determining patient’s preference, familiarity and cultural context (16). While some studies have demonstrated pain to decrease after music (6, 9, 17-20), others found music to be ineffective on pain (20, 21). Many previous investigations have been limited in a way. For instance, some employed a small sample size (22), some evaluated different types of surgeries and anesthesia in one study (23), and some used a type of music not selected by patients (24, 25). Moreover, while a systematic review recommended a minimum duration of 30 minutes for music therapy to be effective in clinical practice (14), a number of studies played music for less than 30 minutes (12, 26). On the other hand, the constant presence of the researcher during the intervention (25) might have affected patient’s response. Therefore, considering the above mentioned facts and according to the cultural, social and economic differences in Iran, we tried to perform this study as well as we could.

2. Objectives
The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of music on postoperative pain in patients undergoing open heart surgery.

3. Patients and Methods
A quasi-experimental study was performed on 60 patients undergoing open heart surgery referred to ICU of Shahid Beheshti hospital in Kashan city during 2012 and 2013. Inclusion criteria were age between 18 to 60 years, complete conciseness, reading and writing ability, moderate and severe pain based on Visual Analog Scale (VAS), not having addiction to sedatives and alcohol, hemodynamic stability, first time open heart surgery, not having mental disorders, not having chronic pain, not having hearing disorders and were on their first postoperative day after CABG or valve replacement. Patients were excluded if they were connected to a ventilator at the time of intervention, used other alternative methods for pain reduction such as massage therapy during the intervention (except of routine pain medication in the ICU).

In the present study, data were collected from the patients undergoing CABG or valve replacement surgery. For those patients undergoing valve replacement surgery, the incision region was in the sternum. However, in patients undergoing CABG surgery, the incision regions were sternum and leg, where the saphenous vein was removed. Thus, two different pain regions were determined by taking the region of incisional pain into consideration (1 = chest incisional pain region; 2 = chest and leg incisional pain regions).

3.1. Sampling
The sample size in each group was determined based on the following assumptions: power = 0.80, α = 0.05, the minimum expected difference in standard deviation = 3.6, and the minimum expected difference in means to be 2.40 (27). According to the formula, the sample size in each group was 30. At first, 82 patients with open heart surgery were assessed for eligibility. Among those, 13 did not have the inclusion criteria, and 9 persons declined to participate. Therefore, 60 patients were randomly assigned into two groups including experimental and control groups (Figure 1). The data collection instrument consisted of two parts; first part was the demographic characteristics including age, gender, marital status, surgery type, education level, and the second part included VAS. According to these criteria, score zero shows that there is no pain and score 10 shows the most level of experienced pain by the person. This scale has extensively been used in the studies related to pain and its validity and reliability have been approved (28, 29).

3.2. Procedures
After explaining the research objectives and obtaining written informed consent from the participants. The data were collected between 3.00 p.m. and 4.00 p.m. when the traffic in ICU was not intense and the patients were not receiving invasive or noninvasive procedures. All of the patients received standard cares under supervision of a cardiovascular specialist. All the patients received 50 mg Pethidine and beta-receptor blocker (metoprolol succinate) at 8 am by the ICU nurse. The patients also received 3-5 ml/min oxygen delivered by nasal cannula to prevent complications and hypoxia after surgery. The second researcher helped the participants lie down in the bed and turn over to a relaxing position on their back, supine position, with the head head at 20 to 30 degrees. In addition, factors affecting pain intensity, such as incision method, type and extent of incision, having chest tube, and type of analgesic drugs used, were the same for all patients. Demographic and pain intensity scale (VAS) were completed in the first 24 hours after the surgery in the ICU.

The patients in the music group were listening to sedative music by an MP3 player with special headphones for 30 minutes. Sedative music was operationalized as music without lyrics and with a sustained melodic quality, with a rate of 60-80 beats per minute and a general absence of strong rhythms or percussion. Changing the volume was in the control of the patient. Sedative music was selected by a music expert considering the cultural
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conditions of the society. During the intervention, for all participants, the environment was enhanced to reduce stimuli and facilitate rest by closing the door and posting a sign to prevent being disturbed by visitors and health care personnel.

During this period, the second researcher stayed in ICU. After the intervention, Pain intensity was again evaluated immediately after the music was completely played in two groups. However, in the control group, headphones were used without playing any music.

3.3. Ethical Considerations
The study was approved by the research deputy and the research ethics committee of Kashan University of Medical Sciences. All participants signed a written informed consent before participation in the study. The respondents were anonymous and all the information was kept confidential in this study. The participants were free to leave the study at any time. The ICU nurses were informed about the results at the end of the study. The researchers observed all ethical issues in accordance with the Helsinki declaration.

3.4. Data Analysis
The data was collected in the specified forms and was analyzed using SPSS software Version 16 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The normality the data was analyzed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (P ≥ 0.05). Also, Mann-Whitney-U test was applied where the distribution of the data was not normal. Mean score and standard deviation were calculated. Chi-square and t-tests were used to compare nominal variables between the two groups. T-test was also used to compare the statistical difference between the mean differences of pain in the two groups. A P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant in all tests.

4. Results
A total number of 60 patients undergoing open heart surgery were enrolled in this study (30 in each group). A proportion of 43.3% of patients were in the age range of 50-65 years old. Also, 56.6% of the patients were male and all married. No significant difference was observed between the mean of pain intensity in the experimental and control groups before intervention (P = 0.2). However, a significant difference was found between the mean of pain intensity in the experimental and control group at 30 minutes after music therapy (P = 0.04). Also, a significant difference was observed between the mean of pain intensity in the experimental groups before and after intervention (p = 0.01) (Table 1 and 2).
Table 1. Pain Intensity in the Experimental Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Chi-Square and p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
<td>13 (43.3%)</td>
<td>Chi-square = 3.51 P = 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
<td>17 (56.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>25 (83.3%)</td>
<td>23 (76.6%)</td>
<td>Chi-square = 2.86 P = 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>5 (16.6%)</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-35</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>8 (26.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-50</td>
<td>11 (36.6%)</td>
<td>8 (26.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-65</td>
<td>13 (43.3%)</td>
<td>14 (46.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>11 (36.6%)</td>
<td>9 (30%)</td>
<td>Chi-square = 3.9 P = 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>9 (30%)</td>
<td>14 (46.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>10 (33.3%)</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve replacement</td>
<td>10 (33.3%)</td>
<td>8 (26.6%)</td>
<td>Chi-square = 2.91 P = 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>20 (66.6%)</td>
<td>22 (73.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparing The Mean of Pain Intensity in Two Groups Before and After Intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Before intervention</th>
<th>After Intervention</th>
<th>Independent t-Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Group</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>T= 4.3/P = 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>T= 2.25/P = 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired t-Test</td>
<td>T = 2.87/P = 0.2</td>
<td>T = 3.49/P = 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussions

Most of the using methods for reducing postoperative complications such as pain and the amount of analgesic drugs consumption are based on drugs intervention. Our effort in this study was to assess the effect of music as a non-pharmacological and inexpensive intervention in reducing the postoperative pain. Based on the results of this study, music significantly decreased postoperative pain in patients after open heart surgery. Likely to our study, both Sendelbach et al. (12) and Hatem et al. (30) showed that music reduced patients’ pain after cardiac surgery. The finding that listening to music was effective in relieving postoperative pain is consistent with other studies that examined the effects of music on pain for patients undergoing cardiac surgery (18, 31). Voss et al. investigated the effect of music on pain during chair rest after open heart surgery and reported that the pain level of the sedative music group was lower than in the scheduled rest or treatment as usual groups (13). This finding is inconsistent with present study. The inconsistencies between different studies may be attributed to differences in type of music, music time, type of disease, patients’ culture and music playback time. Aragon et al. investigated the effect of harp music in vascular and thoracic surgical patients by using VAS to measure patients’ pain. The VAS was completed just before harp playing 20 minutes after harp playing was started, and 10 minutes after completion. Results indicated that listening to live harp music had a positive effect on patient perception of pain (17). This finding is consistent with findings of our study. However, Aragon et al. study used harp music, and the present study used sedative music. It seems that every type of music is effective in reducing pain. Zimmerman et al. demonstrated that music intervention decreased postoperative pain in CABG patients (21). Similarly, Nilsson et al. stated patients exposed to soft relaxing music intra-operatively, have significantly lower pain scores compared with the control group patients on the first day after the surgery (32). In contrast, Allred et al. evaluated patients undergoing knee arthroplasty and did not find any significant pain reduction in the music group as compared with the control group (resting on bed) (2), while it is inconsistent with present study. Allred et al. used music for 20 minutes after surgery; whereas, we used music for 30 minutes after surgery in ICU.

The current study is consistent with the findings from
previous studies that sedative music was more effective than scheduled rest or usual treatment in reducing pain in ICU. Studies that have not found significant effects on pain (33) or have found mixed results had small sample sizes with inadequate power to detect significant differences. The lack of significant findings in some studies may have been related to the suddenness of pain experienced during chest tube removal or upon awakening from surgery (33), when patients may have had difficulty focusing on the music intervention. Experts have suggested that sedative music is more effective if the patient is able to concentrate on the intervention (33). It seems that sedative music used in this study, would be effective in decreasing the patients’ pain after surgery.

Music ineffectiveness on pain reduction in these studies could be attributed to the type of the music listened by the patients, ie, one type of Spanish guitar music was played for all participants without considering the local and national culture of the patients. However, it has been suggested that preferred music, as opposed to prescribed music, is a critical factor in the effectiveness of music therapy (19). The results of Woldendorp et al. showed a significant correlation between the degree of relaxation and liking the music (34). Since the emotional responses differ from culture to culture, a type of music related to the cultural features of the subjects should be selected (3).

The success of music interventions may be greatly enhanced by familiarity and cultural contexts of the patients. The large effects for those who used sedative music may be attributable to having something more pleasant to concentrate on or something to distract their minds from the pain and help them relax their bodies (35). Some researchers believe that music as the senses deviation acts like a mask on the annoying sounds of the ICU and leads to reduction of stress and anxiety and reduction of the pain intensity in the following (36). Music also occupies the patient’s mind with something familiar and soothing, which allows the patient to escape into his or her own world (19).

5.1. Study Limitations

This study had several limitations. The study was conducted in only one ICU, and the study sample reflects only one area of Iran. Furthermore, data were collected by one researcher. The available selection of music included only nonverbal musical pieces, which limited participants’ choices. Our study only evaluated pain severity, and we did not include vital signs evaluation. Future studies are recommended to include larger samples from different regions of Iran and should also include a larger selection of music pieces.

The findings of the study indicated the significant effect of music on reducing the postoperative pain. Thus, music can be used as a complementary and noninvasive method in relieving postoperative pain. Music, as a nursing intervention, is a noninvasive and safe therapy for health promotion of patients. However, the music listened by patients should be of a sedative quality, and culturally appropriate selections should be offered. Nurses can use music as an intervention for patients who have undergone open heart surgery to promote nursing autonomy and the idea that nurses are able to affect patients’ environment. It is advisable that music should become a part of nursing care offered to patients experiencing pain, because music is a low-cost therapy that has no side effects.
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